

NEW STANDARD-LIKE MODELS FROM INTERSECTING D4-BRANES

D.BAILIN^{♣ 1}, G. V. KRANIOTIS^{♠ 2} and A. LOVE[◇]

♣ *Centre for Theoretical Physics, University of Sussex
Brighton BN1 9QJ, U.K.*

♠ *Fachbereich Physik, Martin-Luther-Universität Halle-Wittenberg
Friedemann-Bach-Platz 6, D-06099, Halle, Germany*

◇ *Centre for Particle Physics, Royal Holloway, University of London
Egham, Surrey TW20-0EX, U.K.*

ABSTRACT

We construct a new set of intersecting D4-brane models that yield the (non-supersymmetric) standard model up to vector-like matter and, in some cases, extra $U(1)$ factors in the gauge group. The models are constrained by the requirement that twisted tadpoles cancel, and that the gauge boson coupled to the weak hypercharge $U(1)_Y$ does not get a string-scale mass via a generalised Green-Schwarz mechanism. We find six-stack models that contain all of the Yukawa couplings to the tachyonic Higgs doublets that are needed to generate mass terms for the fermions at renormalisable level, but which have charged-singlet scalar tachyons and an unwanted extra $U(1)$ gauge symmetry after spontaneous symmetry breaking. There is also a six-stack model without any unwanted gauged $U(1)$ symmetries, but which only has the Yukawa couplings to generate masses for the u quarks and charged leptons. A particular eight-stack model is free of charged-singlet tachyons and has gauge coupling strengths whose ratios at the string scale are close to those measured at the electroweak scale, consistent with the string scale being at most a few TeV.

¹D.Bailin@sussex.ac.uk

²G.Kraniotis@sussex.ac.uk, kraniotis@physik.uni-halle.de

The D-brane world offers an attractive, bottom-up route to getting standard-like models from Type II string theory [1]. Open strings that begin and end on a stack of M D-branes generate the gauge bosons of the group $U(M)$ living in the world volume of the D-branes. So the standard approach is to start with one stack of 3 D-branes, another of 2, and n other stacks each having just 1 D-brane, thereby generating the gauge group $U(3) \times U(2) \times U(1)^n$. Fermions in bi-fundamental representations of the corresponding gauge groups can arise at the intersections of such stacks [2], but to get $D = 4$ *chiral* fermions the intersecting branes should sit at a singular point in the space transverse to the branes, an orbifold fixed point, for example. In general, such configurations yield a non-supersymmetric spectrum, so to avoid the hierarchy problem the string scale associated with such models must be no more than a few TeV. Gravitational interactions occur in the bulk ten-dimensional space, and to ensure that the Planck energy has its observed large value, it is necessary that there are large dimensions transverse to the branes [3]. The D-branes with which we are concerned wrap the 3-space we inhabit and closed 1-, 2- or 3-cycles of a toroidally compactified T^2 , $T^2 \times T^2$ or $T^2 \times T^2 \times T^2$ space. Thus getting the correct Planck scale effectively means that only D4- and D5-brane models are viable, since for D6-branes there is no dimension transverse to all of the intersecting branes. In a non-supersymmetric theory the cancellation of the closed-string (twisted) Ramond-Ramond (RR) tadpoles does *not* ensure the cancellation of the Neveu-Schwarz-Neveu-Schwarz (NSNS) tadpoles. There is a resulting instability in the complex structure moduli [4]. One way to stabilise some of the (complex structure) moduli is to use an orbifold, rather than a torus, for the space wrapped by the D-branes. If the embedding is supersymmetric, then the instabilities are removed. This has been studied [5], using D6-branes, but it has so far proved difficult to get realistic phenomenology consistent with experimental data from such models.

During the past year orientifold models with intersecting D6- and D5-branes have been constructed that yield precisely the fermionic spectrum of the standard model (plus three generations of right-chiral neutrinos) [6, 7]. (Other recent work on intersecting brane models, both supersymmetric and non-supersymmetric, and their phenomenological implications may be found in [8].) The spectrum includes $SU(2)_L$ doublet scalar tachyons that may be regarded as the Higgs doublets that break the electroweak symmetry group, but also, unavoidably, colour-triplet and charged singlet tachyons either of which is potentially fatal for the phenomenology. In a previous paper [9] we studied D4-brane models, transverse to a $\mathbb{Z}_3$ orbifold, having three generations of chiral matter that were constrained to have no colour triplet or charged singlet scalar tachyons, and which contained the Yukawa couplings to the Higgs doublets needed to give masses to all quarks and leptons; these models, unavoidably, also possessed extra, vector-like leptonic matter. The wrapping numbers of the various stacks are constrained by the requirement of RR tadpole cancellation and also by the requirement that the mixed $U(1)_Y \times SU(2)_L^2$ and $U(1)_Y \times SU(3)_c^2$ anomalies cancel. In string theory, these latter constraints derive from a generalised Green-Schwarz mechanism that ensures that the gauge bosons associated with all anomalous $U(1)$ s acquire string-scale masses [10]. In fact the cancellation of anomalies is necessary,

Stack a	N_a	(n_a, m_a)	$\gamma_{\theta,a}$
1	3	$(1, 0)$	$\alpha^p \mathbf{I}_3$
2	2	$(n_2, 3)$	$\alpha^q \mathbf{I}_2$
$i_1 \in I_1$	1	(n_{i_1}, m_{i_1})	α^q
$i_2 \in I_2$	1	(n_{i_2}, m_{i_2})	α^q
$j_1 \in J_1$	1	(n_{j_1}, m_{j_1})	α^r
$j_2 \in J_2$	1	(n_{j_2}, m_{j_2})	α^r
$k_1 \in K_1$	1	(n_{k_1}, m_{k_1})	α^p
$k_2 \in K_2$	1	(n_{k_2}, m_{k_2})	α^p

Table 1: Multiplicities, wrapping numbers and Chan-Paton phases for the D4-brane models, ($p \neq q \neq r \neq p$).

but *not* sufficient [6], to ensure the masslessness of the gauge boson associated with $U(1)_Y$. When the stronger constraints derived from this Green-Schwarz mechanism are applied we find that in the models constructed in [9] the weak hypercharge $U(1)_Y$ survives only as a global, *not* a local, symmetry³. This paper, therefore, is the first to construct semi-realistic intersecting D4-brane orbifold models that do not have this defect.

As before [9], and as displayed in Table 1, we start with an array of D4-brane stacks, each wrapping a closed 1-cycle of T^2 , and situated at a fixed point of the transverse $(T^2 \times T^2)/\mathbb{Z}_3$ orbifold. The generator θ of the $\mathbb{Z}_3$ point group is embedded in the stack a of N_a branes as $\gamma_{\theta,a} = \alpha^{p_a} I_{N_a}$, where $\alpha = e^{2\pi i/3}$, $p_a = 0, 1, 2$, and the weak hypercharge Y is given [9] by

$$-Y = \frac{1}{3}Q_1 + \frac{1}{2}Q_2 + \sum_{i_1} Q_{i_1} + \sum_{j_1} Q_{j_1} + \sum_{k_1} Q_{k_1} \quad (1)$$

where Q_a is the $U(1)$ charge associated with the stack a ; Q_a is normalised such that the $\mathbf{N}_a$ representation of $SU(N_a)$ has $Q_a = +1$. The wrapping numbers n_a and m_a specify the number of times the two basis 1-cycles are wrapped by the closed 1-cycle associated with stack a . When n_a and m_a are coprime a single copy of the gauge group $U(N_a)$ occurs; if n_a and m_a have a highest common factor f_a there are f_a copies of $U(N_a)$. The wrapping numbers determine the number of intersections I_{ab} of stack a with stack b

$$I_{ab} = n_a m_b - n_b m_a \quad (2)$$

The cancellation of twisted tadpoles gives the constraints:

$$2n_2 + \sum_{i_1} n_{i_1} + \sum_{i_2} n_{i_2} = \sum_{j_1} n_{j_1} + \sum_{j_2} n_{j_2} = 3 + \sum_{k_1} n_{k_1} + \sum_{k_2} n_{k_2} \quad (3)$$

³A similar observation applies also to the models constructed in [11] and Kataoka and Shimojo [8].

$$6 + \sum_{i_1} m_{i_1} + \sum_{i_2} m_{i_2} = \sum_{j_1} m_{j_1} + \sum_{j_2} m_{j_2} = \sum_{k_1} m_{k_1} + \sum_{k_2} m_{k_2} \quad (4)$$

and this is sufficient to ensure cancellation of the cubic non-abelian anomalies. The mixed $U(1)$ anomalies are cancelled by a generalised Green-Schwarz mechanism involving the exchange of fields that arise from the dimensional reduction of the twisted two-form RR fields $B_2^{(k)}$ and $C_2^{(k)}$ that live at the orbifold singularity [12]. These fields are coupled to the $U(1)_a$ field strength F_a of the stack a by terms in the low energy action of the form

$$n_a \int_{M_4} \text{Tr}(\gamma_{k,a} \lambda_a) C_2^{(k)} \wedge \text{Tr} F_a \quad (5)$$

$$m_a \int_{M_4} \text{Tr}(\gamma_{k,a} \lambda_a) B_2^{(k)} \wedge \text{Tr} F_a \quad (6)$$

where $\gamma_{k,a} \equiv \gamma_{\theta,a}^k$ and λ_a is the Chan-Paton matrix associated with the $U(1)$ generator. These couplings determine the linear combination of $U(1)$ gauge bosons that acquire string-scale masses via the Green-Schwarz mechanism. For the D4-brane array given in Table 1 the couplings are

$$\left[\alpha^{pk} \left(3F_1 + \sum_{k_1} n_{k_1} F_{k_1} + \sum_{k_2} n_{k_2} F_{k_2} - \sum_{j_1} n_{j_1} F_{j_1} - \sum_{j_2} n_{j_2} F_{j_2} \right) + \alpha^{qk} \left(2n_2 F_2 + \sum_{i_1} n_{i_1} F_{i_1} + \sum_{i_2} n_{i_2} F_{i_2} - \sum_{j_1} n_{j_1} F_{j_1} - \sum_{j_2} n_{j_2} F_{j_2} \right) \right] \wedge C_2^{(k)} \quad (7)$$

$$\left[\alpha^{pk} \left(\sum_{k_1} m_{k_1} F_{k_1} + \sum_{k_2} m_{k_2} F_{k_2} - \sum_{j_1} m_{j_1} F_{j_1} - \sum_{j_2} m_{j_2} F_{j_2} \right) + \alpha^{qk} \left(6F_2 + \sum_{i_1} m_{i_1} F_{i_1} + \sum_{i_2} m_{i_2} F_{i_2} - \sum_{j_1} m_{j_1} F_{j_1} - \sum_{j_2} m_{j_2} F_{j_2} \right) \right] \wedge B_2^{(k)} \quad (8)$$

We require that the $U(1)_Y$ gauge boson associated with the weak hypercharge given in eqn (1) remains massless. Consequently, the corresponding field strength must be orthogonal to those that acquire Green-Schwarz masses. Thus we require that the wrapping numbers satisfy the constraints:

$$n_2 + \sum_{i_1} n_{i_1} = \sum_{j_1} n_{j_1} = 1 + \sum_{k_1} n_{k_1} \quad (9)$$

$$3 + \sum_{i_1} m_{i_1} = \sum_{j_1} m_{j_1} = \sum_{k_1} m_{k_1} \quad (10)$$

Combining these with the tadpole cancellation constraints (3,4) gives also

$$n_2 + \sum_{i_2} n_{i_2} = \sum_{j_2} n_{j_2} = 2 + \sum_{k_2} n_{k_2} \quad (11)$$

$$3 + \sum_{i_2} m_{i_2} = \sum_{j_2} m_{j_2} = \sum_{k_2} m_{k_2} \quad (12)$$

Stack a	N_a	(n_a, m_a)	$\gamma_{\theta,a}$
1	3	$(1, 0)$	$\alpha^p \mathbf{I}_3$
2	2	$(n_2, 3)$	$\alpha^q \mathbf{I}_2$
i_1	1	$(n_{j_1} - n_2, m_{j_1} - 3)$	α^q
i_2	1	$(n_{j_2} - n_2, m_{j_2} - 3)$	α^q
j_1	1	(n_{j_1}, m_{j_1})	α^r
j_2	1	(n_{j_2}, m_{j_2})	α^r
k_1	1	$(n_{j_1} - 1, m_{j_1})$	α^p
k_2	1	$(n_{j_2} - 2, m_{j_2})$	α^p

Table 2: Multiplicities, wrapping numbers and Chan-Paton phases for D4-brane models consistent with eqns (9, 10, 11, 12).

In general, tachyonic scalars arise at intersections between stacks a and b which have the same Chan-Paton factor $p_a = p_b$. Thus, Higgs doublets, which are needed to give mass to the fermionic matter, arise at $(2i_1)$ and $(2i_2)$ intersections⁴. The allowed Yukawas satisfy selection rules that derive from a Z_2 symmetry associated with each stack of D4-branes. A state associated with a string between the a th and b th stack of D4 branes is odd under the a th and b th Z_2 and even under any other Z_2 . We seek first the most economical model that has $n_G = 3$ generations of chiral matter, and allows the Yukawa couplings needed to give renormalisable-level masses to all (chiral and vector-like) matter. The general solution of eqns (9, 10, 11) and (12) with at most one stack of each type is given in Table 2. It is easy to check that these solutions satisfy eqns (11) and (12) of [9] that ensure the absence of mixed $U(1)_Y \times SU(2)_L^2$ and $U(1)_Y \times SU(3)_c^2$ anomalies. Stacks 1, 2, i_1 and i_2 are needed to generate the 3 quark doublets and (tachyonic) Higgs doublets. These four stacks are also sufficient to provide 3 copies of u_L^c and d_L^c with mass terms at renormalisable level for all quarks. To obtain leptons with renormalisable mass terms a fifth stack, j_1, j_2, k_1 or k_2 , is necessary. However, a sixth stack is required for consistency with eqns (9, 10, 11) and (12). Assuming for the moment that the sixth stack is in a different class from the first five, it is easy to see from Table 2 that there are just four six-stack solutions. These have j_1, j_2 or j_1, k_2 or k_1, j_2 or k_1, k_2 stacks besides the four above, and all have $m_{j_1} = 0 = m_{j_2}$. They have $(n_{j_1}, n_{j_2}) = (1, 2), (1, 0), (0, 2)$, and $(0, 0)$ respectively. Of these only the first has $I_{2i_1} \neq 0$ and $I_{2i_1} \neq 0$ so as to provide Higgs bosons which are able to give masses to all quark and lepton matter consistently with the above selection rules. As before [9], the three generations of chiral matter include right-chiral neutrino states, and there is additional vector-like

⁴ In our model, as in all others, the Higgs content is non-minimal; this seems to be a generic feature of models deriving from string theory [13].

leptonic, but not quark, matter. We find

$$12(L + \bar{L}) + 6(e_L^c + \bar{e}_L^c) + 3(\nu_L^c + \bar{\nu}_L^c) \quad (13)$$

In addition there are 3 Higgs doublets at the $(2i_1)$ intersections, and 6 at $(2i_2)$. Less welcome are the 3 charged-singlet tachyons that arise at the $(i_1 i_2)$ intersections. In general, intersecting brane models also generate colour-triplet tachyons (at the $(1k_1)$ and $(1k_2)$ intersections), but they are absent in this six-stack model. As noted below, the ratios of the gauge coupling strengths at the string scale also depend on the wrapping numbers. We shall see that the six-stack model that we have derived gives values for these ratios that are inconsistent with those measured at the electroweak scale. Nevertheless, we saw before [9] that the contributions of the extra vector-like leptons and gonions to the renormalisation group equations in such models are such that these values can easily be accommodated consistently with a string scale of no more than 3 TeV. So the models should not be excluded on these grounds.

A more serious objection is the presence of charged-singlet tachyons. Even when colour-triplet tachyons arise, strong radiative corrections might be sufficient to render them non-tachyonic [7], but charged-singlet tachyons are more difficult to remove. A possible way round this difficulty could be to make the squared-mass m_ϕ^2 of the colour-singlet tachyons numerically small compared with those $m_{H_{1,2}}$ of the Higgs doublets. In general, the squared-mass of the tachyons at an intersection of stack a with stack b is

$$m_{ab}^2 = -\frac{m_s^2 \epsilon |I_{ab}| R_2 / R_1}{2\pi |n_a - m_a R_2 / R_1| |n_a - m_a R_2 / R_1|}. \quad (14)$$

where m_s is the string scale, R_1 and R_2 are the radii of the two fundamental 1-cycles of the torus wrapped by the D4-branes, and

$$\epsilon \equiv 2|\cos \theta / 2| \quad (15)$$

with θ is the angle between the two vectors defining the lattice. The squared-masses $m_{H_{1,2}}^2$ of the Higgs doublets at the $(2i_1)$ and $(2i_2)$ intersections both have the factor $|\delta|$, where

$$\delta \equiv n_2 - 3R_2 / R_1 \quad (16)$$

in the denominator arising from the $a = 2$ stack. Because charged-singlet tachyons are not at an intersection with the $a = 2$ stack, $|\delta|$ does not occur in the denominator for these states. Consequently, by taking $|\delta|$ to be small [11], the mass-squared of the charged-singlet tachyons is numerically small compared with that of each Higgs doublet, and could easily be turned positive by radiative corrections. Unfortunately, $|\delta|$ also occurs in eqn (24), and a small value of $|\delta|$ would lead to a value of $\alpha_3(m_s)/\alpha_2(m_s)$ too small to run to empirical values with a modest value of m_s . Another possible way around the difficulty without deploying more than six stacks might be to use the five stacks needed to get quark and lepton generations with renormalisable masses, plus a sixth stack in the same class as one already employed, other than $a = 1$ or $a = 2$.

However, it is not difficult to see using Table 2 that such models are inconsistent with eqns (9, 10, 11) and (12). It remains possible that radiative corrections turn the charged-singlet tachyons non-tachyonic while the Higgs doublet scalars remain tachyonic even for $|\delta| \simeq 3.54$. Whether this is the case or not depends upon the contributions of Kaluza-Klein modes, winding modes and gonions to the renormalisation group coefficients. These can be substantial for a low string scale.

There are further objections to these models regarding the number of surviving $U(1)$ gauged symmetries. As already noted, the gauge group associated with the stack a is $U(N_a)$, provided n_a and m_a are coprime, and if this were the case for all six stacks we should start with 6 $U(1)$ s. However, since $(n_{j_2}, m_{j_2}) = (2, 0)$ in the above example, the j_2 stack has gauge group $U(1)^2$. Further, since $n_2 = 1$ or $2 \bmod 3$, so that stack 2 is not degenerate, it is easy to see that either stack i_1 or stack i_2 is triply degenerate. So in the above example we start with 9 $U(1)$ s rather than 6. Three of the nine $U(1)$ gauge bosons acquire string-scale masses via the generalised Green-Schwarz mechanism. These include the anomalous $U(1)$ s, in particular that associated with baryon number $B = Q_1/3$, and these $U(1)$ s survive as global symmetries. There remain six gauged $U(1)$ symmetries. By construction, one is the weak hypercharge $U(1)_Y$, and there is also that associated with the sum X of all of the $U(1)$ charges; it is easy to see that all fields are uncharged with respect to $U(1)_X$. However, there are four further $U(1)$ s, orthogonal to both $U(1)_X$ and $U(1)_Y$ that also survive. If $n_2 = 1 \bmod 3$, for example, each of the 3 Higgs doublets at the $(2i_1)$ intersection can be used to break spontaneously one $U(1)$, and the 6 Higgs doublets at the $(2i_2)$ intersection can be used to break one further $U(1)$; the 4 spontaneously broken $U(1)$ s include $U(1)_Y$, of course. Thus, after spontaneous symmetry breaking there is one (unsought) surviving gauged $U(1)$, besides the uncoupled $U(1)_X$, which does not get a mass from either the Green-Schwarz mechanism or the Higgs mechanism. A similar conclusion is reached if $n_2 = 2 \bmod 3$. The other six-stack models mentioned are even worse in this regard. The models with j_1, k_2 or k_1, j_2 stacks besides 1, 2, i_1 and i_2 both have two unwanted extra gauged $U(1)$ s after spontaneous symmetry breaking, and the k_1, k_2 model has no Higgses to break any of the surviving 5 $U(1)$ s.

We can do better if we abandon the requirement of mass terms for all matter at renormalisable level and consider six-stack models with i_1 or i_2 stacks, but not both. There are four such models allowed by the constraints. They have stacks i_1, j_1, j_2, k_2 or i_1, j_2, k_1, k_2 or i_2, j_1, j_2, k_1 or i_2, j_1, k_1, k_2 besides stacks 1, 2. The first model has $(n_{j_1}, m_{j_1}) = (1, 0)$ and $(n_{j_2}, m_{j_2}) = (n_2, 3)$ in Table 2. If $n_2 = 1 \bmod 3$ then, as above, the i_1 stack is triply degenerate. There are no other degeneracies, so we start with 8 $U(1)$ s. In this case 4 get string-scale masses via the generalised Green-Schwarz mechanism, leaving 4 gauged $U(1)$ s. Since each of the three Higgs doublets at the $(2i_1)$ intersection can spontaneously break one of the $U(1)$ s, the only surviving gauged $U(1)$ after spontaneous symmetry breaking is the uncoupled $U(1)_X$. Besides having $n_G = 3$ generations of chiral matter (including right-chiral neutrinos), this model has extra vector-like leptonic and quark matter. We find

$$3(d_L^c + \bar{d}_L^c) + 6(L + \bar{L}) + 6(e_L^c + \bar{e}_L^c) + 3(\nu_L^c + \bar{\nu}_L^c) \quad (17)$$

Stack a	N_a	(n_a, m_a)	$\gamma_{\theta,a}$
1	3	$(1, 0)$	$\alpha^p \mathbf{I}_3$
2	2	$(n_2, 3)$	$\alpha^q \mathbf{I}_2$
i_1	1	$(n_j - n_2, -3)$	α^q
i_2	1	$(n_j - n_2, -3)$	α^q
j_1	1	$(n_j, 0)$	α^r
j_2	1	$(n_j, 0)$	α^r
k_1	1	$(n_j - 1, 0)$	α^p
k_2	1	$(n_j - 2, 0)$	α^p

Table 3: Multiplicities, wrapping numbers and Chan-Paton phases for the D4-brane models with no charged-singlet tachyons. ($p \neq q \neq r \neq p$).

In addition there are 3 Higgs doublets, 3 charged-singlet tachyonic scalars and 3 colour-triplet scalar tachyons. We find that there are mass terms at renormalisable level only for the three generations of u quarks and charged leptons. The gauge coupling constant ratios are identical to those for the six-stack model discussed previously, so it is quite possible that they are consistent with the observed values and a string scale of no more than 3 TeV. The third model has $(n_{j_1}, m_{j_1}) = (n_2, 3)$ and $(n_{j_2}, m_{j_2}) = (2, 0)$ in Table 2. The double degeneracy of the j_2 stack means that this model has at least one unwanted surviving gauged $U(1)$. The second and fourth models have no Higgs doublets.

We now look for models with seven or eight stacks that are free from charged-singlet tachyons. Such models require

$$I_{i_1 i_2} = 0 = I_{j_1 j_2} = I_{k_1 k_2} \quad (18)$$

for all such intersections. If we assume that there is at most one stack in each class, then the only solutions that are consistent with eqns (9,10,11) and (12), and which possess Higgs doublets, are those displayed in Table 3. Note that we require that $n_j \neq 0$, so that there are $3|n_j|$ Higgs doublet at both the $(2i_1)$ and $(2i_2)$ intersections. Note too that the colour-triplet tachyons are also absent in such solutions since $I_{1k_1} = 0 = I_{1k_2}$. It is easy to see that all of these models have three generations of chiral matter, including right-chiral neutrinos, and that there is always additional vector-like leptonic, but not quark, matter. Precisely how many vector-like leptons are present depends upon the value of the parameter n_j , but not on n_2 :

$$n_j \geq 2 \quad 6(n_j - 1)(e_L^c + \bar{e}_L^c) + 6(n_j - 1)(\nu_L^c + \bar{\nu}_L^c) + 6(2n_j - 1)(L + \bar{L}) \quad (19)$$

$$n_j = 1, 0 \quad 3(e_L^c + \bar{e}_L^c) + 3(\nu_L^c + \bar{\nu}_L^c) + 3(2n_j + 1)(L + \bar{L}) \quad (20)$$

$$n_j \leq 0 \quad 3(1 - 2n_j)(e_L^c + \bar{e}_L^c) + 3(1 - 2n_j)(\nu_L^c + \bar{\nu}_L^c) + 3(1 - 4n_j)(L + \bar{L}) \quad (21)$$

For any choice of n_j the selection rules discussed earlier permit masses for the three generations of quarks and leptons. However, because of the imbalance between the

number of $L + \bar{L}$ and the number of $e_L^c + \bar{e}_L^c$ pairs (or the number of $\nu_L^c + \bar{\nu}_L^c$ pairs), it is not possible to give renormalisable masses to all vector-like leptonic matter through Yukawa couplings of the type $Le_L^c H$, $\bar{L}\bar{e}_L^c H$, $L\nu_L^c H$ or $\bar{L}\bar{\nu}_L^c H$. The surplus $L + \bar{L}$ pairs can be given masses via nonrenormalisable terms of type $L\bar{L}H_1H_2$; there will be only moderate suppression of such masses by the low string scale. Nonetheless, getting masses for $L + \bar{L}$ pairs above the electroweak scale is somewhat unnatural.

The masses of the tachyonic Higgs doublets [11] do depend on n_2 and are given by

$$m_{H_1}^2 = m_{H_2}^2 = -\frac{m_s^2 \epsilon |n_j| (n_2 - \delta)}{2\pi |\delta| |n_j - \delta|} \quad (22)$$

where $m_{H_{1,2}}$ refers to the doublets at the $(2i_{1,2})$ intersections; ϵ and δ are defined in (15) and (16). The above formula for m_H^2 is valid so long as $\epsilon \ll 1$, but in any case $m_H^2 \ll m_s^2$ is required for consistency of the standard model without major contamination by string effects. At the string scale, the value of the gauge coupling constant $\alpha_a(m_s)$ for the gauge bosons associated with stack a is inversely proportional to the length l_a of the 1-cycle wrapped by the stack. For $\epsilon \ll 1$

$$l_a \simeq 2\pi |n_a R_1 - m_a R_2| \quad (23)$$

Ratios of the gauge coupling constants are independent of the Type II string coupling constant λ_{II} . Thus

$$\frac{\alpha_3(m_s)}{\alpha_2(m_s)} = |n_2 - 3R_2/R_1| = |\delta| \quad (24)$$

Also, since

$$\frac{1}{\alpha_Y} = \frac{1}{3\alpha_3} + \frac{1}{2\alpha_2} + \frac{1}{\alpha_{i_1}} + \frac{1}{\alpha_{j_1}} + \frac{1}{\alpha_{k_1}} \quad (25)$$

we have that

$$\frac{\alpha_3(m_s)}{\alpha_Y(m_s)} = \frac{1}{3} + \frac{1}{2}|\delta| + |n_j - \delta| + |n_j| + |n_j - 1| \quad (26)$$

Consistency with a low string scale m_s requires that these ratios do not differ greatly from the values measured [14] at the electroweak scale m_Z

$$\frac{\alpha_3(m_Z)}{\alpha_2(m_Z)} = 3.54 \quad (27)$$

$$\frac{\alpha_3(m_Z)}{\alpha_Y(m_Z)} = 11.8 \quad (28)$$

It is easy to see that when $\delta = -3.54$ the value $n_j = -4$ in (26) comes very close to the value (28), and even $n_j = 2$ comes fairly close. Interestingly, in the case $n_j = 2$ the model has only seven stacks. When $\delta = +3.54$ rough agreement occurs for $n_j = 5, -2$. The case $n_j = 1$ gives the value for the six-stack models derived above; $\delta = -3.54$ gives a value $\alpha_3/\alpha_Y = 7.64$ that is closer to the measured value (28) than that given by $\delta = +3.54$.

In conclusion, we find that requiring that the gauge boson associated with weak hypercharge does *not* acquire a string-scale mass leads to a unique, one-parameter (n_2) family of six-stack, intersecting D4-brane models, if we are to get three chiral generations of matter all of which are coupled to the tachyonic Higgs bosons that generate masses when the electroweak symmetry is spontaneously broken. Such models all have extra vector-like leptons, as well as charged-singlet scalar tachyons. They also have at least one surviving (unwanted) coupled, gauged $U(1)$ symmetry after spontaneous symmetry breaking. Relaxing the requirement that all matter has the Higgs couplings necessary to generate masses at renormalisable level allows us to construct models without unwanted $U(1)$ gauge symmetries. In this case there are also colour-triplet scalar tachyons as well as vector-like d quark matter. Demanding both that there are mass terms at renormalisable level for all matter and that there are no charged-singlet tachyons generally requires models with at least eight stacks of intersecting branes, and these have one extra parameter (n_j). When $n_j = -4$ the standard model gauge coupling ratios have values at the string scale that are very close to those measured at the electroweak scale. In this case, therefore, the string scale cannot be far from the electroweak scale, and stringy signatures should appear below this scale. Specifically, towers of vector-like fermionic and bosonic matter, with mass scale set by the Higgs bosons, are unavoidable in all intersecting brane models [11]. Also, D4-brane models such as ours, in which the orbifold point group acts supersymmetrically on the transverse space $(T^2 \times T^2)/\mathbb{Z}_3$, have $\mathcal{N} = 2$ supersymmetric gauge supermultiplets. However, since the spectrum is not supersymmetric, we expect masses to arise from loop effects involving the non-gauge matter. Again there is every reason to expect these particles to have masses below the string scale. Three of the original $U(1)$ gauge bosons, including those of the anomalous $U(1)$ s, acquire string-scale masses via the generalised Green-Schwarz mechanism. In particular, baryon number $B = Q_1/3$ is anomalous and survives as a global symmetry. Consequently, the proton is stable despite the low string scale. As before [9], the Higgs boson fields are also charged under some of the anomalous $U(1)$ s that survive as global symmetries. Thus a keV-scale axion is unavoidable. It is expected that TeV-scale Z' vector bosons will be observable at future colliders, and precision electroweak data (on the ρ -parameter) already constrain [15] the string scale to be at least 1.5 TeV. However, there are generally $(2|n_j| + |n_j - 1| + |n_j - 2| - 2 \geq 1)$ surviving, unwanted $U(1)$ gauge symmetries after spontaneous symmetry breaking.

Acknowledgements

This research is supported in part by PPARC and the German-Israeli Foundation for Scientific Research (GIF).

References

- [1] G. Aldazabal, L. E. Ibáñez and F. Quevedo, JHEP 0001(2000)031, hep-th/9909172, JHEP 0002 (2000) 015, hep-th/0005067; I. Antoniadis, E. Kiritsis and T. Tomaras, Phys. Lett. B486 (2000) 186, hep-th/0004214; D. Bailin, G.V. Kraniotis, A. Love, Phys. Lett. B502 (2001) 209, hep-th/0011289; C. Bachas, hep-th/9503030; R. Blumenhagen, L. Görlich, B. Körs and D. Lüst, JHEP 0010 (2000) 006, hep-th/0007024; Z. Kakushadze, Phys. Rev. D59 (1999) 045007; Z. Kakushadze, G. Shiu and S.-H. Henry Tye, Nucl. Phys. B533(1998)25; J. Lykken, E. Poppitz, S. P. Trivedi, Nucl. Phys. B543 (1999) 105; I. Antoniadis, E. Dudas, A. Sagnotti, Phys. Lett.B 464 (1999) 38; S. Sugimoto, Prog. Theor. Phys. 102 (1999) 685; C. Angelantonj, Nucl. Phys.B 566 (2000) 126;
- [2] M. Berkooz, M. R. Douglas and R. G. Leigh, Nuclear Physics B480 (1996) 265, hep-th/9606139
- [3] N. Arkani-Hamed, S. Dimopoulos and G.R. Dvali, Phys. Lett. B429 (1998) 263, hep-ph/9803315; I. Antoniadis, N. Arkani-Hamed, S. Dimopoulos and G.R.Dvali, Phys. Lett.B 436 (1998) 257, hep-ph/9804398.
- [4] R. Blumenhagen, B. Körs, D. Lüst and T. Ott, Nucl. Phys.B616 (2001) 3, hep-th/0107138
- [5] M. Cvetič, P. Langacker and A. M. Uranga, Nucl. Phys. B615 (2001) 3, hep-th/0107166; M. Cvetič, P. Langacker and G. Shiu, hep-ph/0205252, hep-th/0206115
- [6] L. E. Ibáñez, F. Marchesano and R. Rabadan, JHEP 0111 (2001) 002, hep-th/0105155
- [7] D. Cremades, L. E. Ibáñez and F. Marchesano, hep-th/0205074
- [8] R. Blumenhagen, V. Braun , B. Körs and D. Lüst, JHEP 0207 (2002) 026, hep-th/0206038 ; G. Aldazabal, L. E. Ibáñez, A.M. Uranga, hep-ph/0205250; G. Honecker, JHEP 0201 (2002) 025, hep-th/0201037, hep-th/0112174; H. Kataoka and M. Shimojo, hep-th/0112247; C. Kokorelis, hep-th/0203187, hep-th/0205147; J. R. Ellis, P. Kanti, D.V. Nanopoulos, hep-th/0206087
- [9] D. Bailin, G. V. Kraniotis and A. Love, Physics Letters B530 (2002) 202, hep-th/0108131
- [10] L. E. Ibáñez, R. Rabadán and A. M. Uranga, Nucl. Phys. B542 (1999) 112, hep-th/9808139
- [11] G. Aldazabal, S. Franco, L. E. Ibáñez, R. Rabadán and A. M. Uranga, JHEP 0102 (2001) 047, hep-ph/0011132

- [12] G. Aldazabal, S. Franco, L. E. Ibáñez, R. Rabadán and A. M. Uranga, hep-th/0001083
- [13] D. M. Ghilencia and H. P. Nilles, hep-th/0204261
- [14] Reports of the working groups on precision calculations for LEP2 Physics, S. Jadach, G. Passarino, R. Pittau (Eds.), CERN Yellow Report, CERN, 2000-009
- [15] D. M. Ghilencia, L. E. Ibáñez, N. Irges and F. Quevedo, hep-ph/0205083